THE RECOVERY KERNEL

You Are Not Broken.

You Were Running the Wrong Repair Manual.

TED Talk Manuscript — Complete

The System Architect

Target length: 18 minutes | ~2,400 spoken words

PERFORMANCE NOTES

Deliver from memory or near-memory, not from a lectern. Walk. Use the whole stage. The story is personal — let the body carry it. Pauses are marked. Honor them. The audience needs time to land on the ideas. Stage directions are in brackets and italics throughout.

Talk Structure & Timing

Section 1 | The Opening Confession — Minutes 0:00–2:30

The hook. Two stories. The problem statement delivered through lived experience before any explanation.

Section 2 | The Wrong Question — Minutes 2:30–5:00

The diabetes analogy. The hardware/software reframe. Why willpower cannot solve a hardware fault.

Section 3 | The Architecture — Minutes 5:00–9:00

MTHFR, COMT, CYP2D6 — explained through two people, not through genes. The Warrior and the Worrier. Your substance of choice was not random.

Section 4 | The Forensic Record — Minutes 9:00–12:30

Prince. Avicii. The $200 test. The cost of not looking. The pattern across sixty years.

Section 5 | Inside the Facility — Minutes 12:30–15:00

FMC Rochester. What I saw. The gap between what people are given and what they need. The book.

Section 6 | The Closing Ask — Minutes 15:00–18:00

The manual is free. The ask. The final image. The names from the dedication.

Full Manuscript

SECTION 1 THE OPENING CONFESSION *[~0:00–2:30]*

[Walk to center stage. Stand still. No movement. Make eye contact with the audience for a full three seconds before speaking.]

I want to tell you about two conversations I had.

[PAUSE — 3 seconds]

The first was with a doctor in 2009. I had just checked into a treatment facility for the third time in five years. He looked at my chart, looked at me, and said — and I remember this exactly — 'You need to decide if you want to get better.'

[PAUSE — 2 seconds]

I was spending eight thousand dollars a month on treatment. I had walked away from my career, my relationship, most of my close friendships. I had not slept more than four hours in a week. I had tried therapy, medication, twelve-step programs, inpatient, outpatient, meditation retreats, and one thing that involved a horse and a very patient equine therapist. I had failed all of them. And this doctor looked at me and said: 'You need to decide if you want to get better.'

[PAUSE — 3 seconds]

The second conversation happened ten years later. A different kind of doctor. A genetic counselor. She looked at my test results and said — and I remember this exactly too — 'With this profile, your brain was running the neurotransmitter supply chain at roughly thirty to forty percent of normal capacity. The substance you were using brought it to one hundred percent. Your brain wasn't getting high. It was getting enough.'

[PAUSE — 4 seconds]

[Let that settle. Don't rush past it.]

I want you to hold those two sentences next to each other for a moment.

'You need to decide if you want to get better.'

And: 'Your brain was running at thirty percent capacity, and the substance brought it to one hundred.'

[PAUSE — 3 seconds]

One of those sentences is a moral diagnosis. The other is a biological one. One of them costs fifteen years and one and a half million dollars to learn. The other costs two hundred dollars and a tube of saliva sent through the mail.

[PAUSE — 2 seconds]

I'm a computer systems architect. My entire professional life has been about one question: when a system is failing, why is it failing? Not 'is the user trying hard enough?' Not 'does the user deserve to succeed?' Why is the system failing? What is the root cause? What does the hardware actually require?

I applied that question to my own biology fifteen years into treatment failure. What I found changed everything. And I built the answer into a framework that I've now made free and public domain — because the manual should have been free fifteen years ago.

[Begin to walk — slowly, with purpose.]

This talk is about what I found.

| SECTION 2 THE WRONG QUESTION *[~2:30–5:00]*

[Move to stage left. A little more energy now.]

Consider what we do when someone has type 1 diabetes.

We don't tell them to try harder. We don't suggest that with sufficient willpower, they could generate the insulin their pancreas lacks the machinery to make. We identify the deficit. We understand the mechanism. And we supply what the biological system cannot produce on its own.

[PAUSE — 2 seconds]

Now consider what we do when someone has addiction.

We tell them to want recovery more. To commit more deeply. To find the strength they already have. To surrender. To pray harder. To mean it this time.

And when that fails — and for many people, it fails repeatedly — we call the failure evidence of insufficient character.

[PAUSE — 3 seconds]

We are prescribing a software solution to a hardware fault. And we call the person broken when it doesn't work.

[PAUSE — 2 seconds]

Here is the hardware.

Your brain's primary function is to keep you alive. To do that, it monitors your internal state constantly — your stress level,

your pain, your emotional experience — and compares what it detects to what it expects. Stability. Safety. Adequacy. When there is a significant mismatch between what the brain expects and what it finds, it generates an error signal. That error signal drives behavior aimed at resolution.

In a brain with adequate neurochemistry, the error signals generated by ordinary stress are resolved by ordinary means. Movement. Rest. Connection. The passage of time. The brain has tools that work.

But when the brain's neurochemical baseline is genuinely depleted — when the production machinery is running at thirty percent capacity, when the clearance systems are overwhelmed, when the metabolic pathways that convert nutrients into neurotransmitters are genetically throttled — those ordinary tools don't generate sufficient response. The signal remains. The error persists. The brain keeps searching.

[PAUSE — 2 seconds]

And then someone encounters a substance that resolves the error. Completely. Powerfully. For the first time.

The brain performs a calculation that is entirely rational from its own perspective.

Error detected. Solution attempted: substance. Result: error resolved.

The brain does not record 'this solution is unsustainable.' It records: 'this worked.'

[PAUSE — 3 seconds]

The question the treatment system asks is: 'How do we get this person to stop wanting what makes them feel functional?'

The question the treatment system should ask is: 'How do we build a neurochemical foundation that makes them functional without the substance?'

[PAUSE — 2 seconds]

Those are different questions. They lead to different places. And for fifteen years, I was only ever asked the first one.

| SECTION 3 THE ARCHITECTURE *[~5:00–9:00]*

[Move to center. This is the science section — make it feel like a conversation, not a lecture. Speak to one person, then another, then another.]

I want to introduce you to two people. I'm going to call them the Warrior and the Worrier.

[PAUSE — 1 second]

The Warrior has a gene variant called COMT Val/Val. COMT is the enzyme that clears dopamine from the prefrontal cortex — the part of the brain responsible for motivation, focus, and the feeling that effort is worth making. In the Warrior, that enzyme runs at three to four times normal speed. Dopamine is produced, fires, and is cleared before it can fully register. The baseline state tends toward flat. Bored. Understimulated. Not because the world is uninteresting — but because the molecular signal of interest and reward is being degraded too rapidly to sustain.

The Warrior is stress-resilient. Calm under pressure. Capable of functioning in high-demand situations. But the cost: ordinary life doesn't feel like enough. The brain seeks novelty, intensity, risk, stimulation — anything to compensate for what the fast enzyme clears before it can be enjoyed.

[PAUSE — 1 second]

What does the Warrior's addiction look like? Stimulants. Cocaine, methamphetamine, high-dose Adderall. These flood the system with dopamine faster than even the fast COMT can clear it. For the first time, the Warrior feels what other people apparently feel all the time: engaged. Motivated. Alive.

Not high. Alive.

[PAUSE — 2 seconds]

Now meet the Worrier. COMT Met/Met. The same enzyme, running at twenty-five to thirty percent of the Warrior's speed. Dopamine doesn't clear quickly. Neither do norepinephrine and epinephrine — the stress hormones. They accumulate. They build. In a calm environment, the Worrier is extraordinary: detail-oriented, analytically precise, capable of the kind of sustained deep focus that produces genuinely remarkable work. Under acute stress, those same capacities become liabilities. The rumination that serves creative problem-solving becomes a loop that will not stop. The brain is running hot and cannot drain.

What does the Worrier's addiction look like? Depressants. Alcohol. Benzodiazepines. Opioids. These slow the accumulation. They provide the quiet that the brain was

chemically unable to generate on its own. It is not recreational. It is relief.

[PAUSE — 2 seconds]

Your substance of choice was not random. It was not a personality flaw. It was not a reflection of your moral character. It was your brain solving a specific problem with the most effective tool available to it at the time.

[PAUSE — 3 seconds]

But COMT is only one piece. There's a second gene I need you to know about: MTHFR.

MTHFR is the enzyme that controls methylation — the process by which your body manufactures dopamine, serotonin, and norepinephrine from the raw materials you eat. Approximately forty percent of the population carries at least one variant that reduces this enzyme's function by thirty to seventy percent. For people with the most significant variants, the supply line for every mood-regulating neurotransmitter is running at a fraction of normal capacity.

Before those people ever encountered a substance, they were already running a deficit. The substance didn't create the problem. It temporarily filled a hole that was already there.

[PAUSE — 2 seconds]

And then there is CYP2D6 — the liver enzyme that processes roughly half of all psychiatric medications and many substances. CYP2D6 Poor Metabolizers can't activate codeine, tramadol, or hydrocodone into their therapeutic form. Standard doses accumulate to toxic levels. They get the side effects without the benefit, are labeled drug-seeking or treatment-resistant, and are sent away. CYP2D6 Ultra-Rapid Metabolizers burn through everything so fast that standard doses of medications feel like nothing — they have to take more to feel any effect at all.

When a poor metabolizer and an ultra-rapid metabolizer are given identical treatment protocols, one of them will be harmed by the dose and the other will receive no therapeutic benefit. Neither of them will be told why. Both of them will be told to try harder.

[PAUSE — 3 seconds]

None of this requires a genetics degree to understand. It requires a $200 test and a clinician willing to look at the results.

[Let the weight of that land.]

SECTION 4 THE FORENSIC RECORD *[~9:00–12:30]*

[Move slowly. The tone shifts here — heavier. More deliberate.]

I want to take you through a specific case, because the abstraction becomes unbearable when it stays abstract.

Prince.

[PAUSE — 2 seconds]

In 2016, Prince was found dead in the elevator at his Paisley Park estate. The cause was fentanyl overdose. The pills he took were counterfeit — pressed to look like Vicodin, containing fentanyl instead.

But here's the question the investigation didn't fully answer: why was he reaching for unregulated pills in the first place?

Prince had been managing chronic hip pain for years. He had sought legitimate prescriptions. He had been through medical channels. By every account, he was not seeking euphoria — he was seeking relief from documented, serious, ongoing physical pain.

Here is what the pharmacogenomic framework would ask: What was his CYP2D6 status?

[PAUSE — 1 second]

CYP2D6 Poor Metabolizers cannot activate codeine, tramadol, or hydrocodone into their therapeutic form. A Poor Metabolizer prescribed any of those medications for genuine chronic pain gets the side effects — constipation, sedation, cognitive fog — without adequate analgesia. They are in pain. The medication doesn't work. The doctor sees no medical reason to prescribe more. The patient keeps hurting.

The unregulated supply doesn't care about metabolizer status. Fentanyl bypasses CYP2D6 entirely. It works regardless. And the counterfeit supply carries unpredictable concentrations.

A two-hundred dollar test — one test — would have identified his metabolizer status. His prescriptions could have been adjusted to medications that worked for his specific biology. His pain would have been managed through legitimate channels. There would have been no reason to reach for the counterfeit supply.

[PAUSE — 3 seconds]

He was fifty-seven years old.

[PAUSE — 3 seconds]

Now let me tell you about Avicii. Tim Bergling. The electronic music producer who died by suicide in 2018 after years of alcohol abuse, chronic pancreatitis, multiple surgeries, and an anxiety disorder that clinical treatment had not adequately addressed.

Avicii's documented pattern — severe anxiety, alcohol as the primary management tool, progressive organ damage from that use, inability to sustain his career despite his genuine desire to continue making music — fits the Worrier profile with high clinical precision. COMT Met/Met. Slow clearance. A brain that accumulates stress chemicals faster than it can drain them. EDM touring as the most neurologically hostile career environment imaginable for a Worrier brain: constant travel, irregular sleep, unpredictable schedules, loud venues, massive crowds with intense social demand, zero quiet, no recovery time built in.

The alcohol was not excess for its own sake. It was the only available tool for a brain that could not quiet itself through any other means the system offered.

When the pancreatitis made drinking impossible, he lost his management tool. And the system had not provided an alternative.

[PAUSE — 2 seconds]

He was twenty-eight years old.

[PAUSE — 3 seconds]

I could go on. The same forensic analysis applies across sixty years of preventable deaths among people who had access to every resource — every resource except the right information. The pattern repeats with nearly identical mechanisms: the metabolizer mismatch, the tolerance reset, the untreated neurochemical profile, the polypharmacy collision.

These are not cautionary tales about excess. They are case studies in diagnostic failure. Failure after failure after failure that a $200 test could have interrupted.

[PAUSE — 3 seconds]

This is not history. This is happening right now, in treatment facilities across this country, to people who don't have famous names.

SECTION 5 INSIDE THE FACILITY *[~12:30–15:00]*

[Come fully still. This is the most personal section. Slower. Voice slightly lower.]

I was incarcerated at FMC Rochester, Minnesota. That's the Federal Medical Center — the Bureau of Prisons facility where they send people with serious medical and psychiatric complexity. The people who have already fallen through every other net.

[PAUSE — 2 seconds]

I was there because my addiction had produced a series of decisions that eventually produced a series of legal consequences. I had been through seven inpatient programs by that point. I had been through more medication trials than I can accurately count. I had been through therapy, psychodrama, equine-assisted recovery, and one particularly memorable sweat lodge in New Mexico.

None of it had held.

[PAUSE — 2 seconds]

Inside FMC Rochester, I had something I hadn't had in years: time. Quiet. Nothing requiring my immediate attention. So I did what I do: I started debugging the system.

I looked at the people around me — people with the most complex medical and psychiatric histories the Bureau of Prisons encounters — and I looked at what they were being given in terms of recovery resources. Generic twelve-step workbooks. Behavioral therapy models designed for the median patient. Medications prescribed without any pharmacogenomic context.

And I looked at what they were not being given: a framework that explained why their specific biology had made substances feel necessary. A protocol that addressed the specific genetic variants that made their specific medications ineffective. The information that would have changed the calculation before any of us arrived in that facility.

[PAUSE — 2 seconds]

I thought: if I ever get out of here, I'm going to write that framework. Not for publishers. Not for profit. For the person sitting exactly where I was sitting, who has time to read carefully and a desperate need for the right repair manual.

[PAUSE — 2 seconds]

It took four more years after release. I tested the protocols against my own biology across that entire period, refining and adjusting as the data accumulated. I had my genome analyzed. I had my pharmacogenomic profile mapped. I built a gene-substance matrix across seventy-eight interactions in thirty genes. I documented what worked, what didn't, and why.

And then I published the whole thing as public domain.

[PAUSE — 1 second]

The Recovery Kernel. Nine volumes. Forty-four protocols. Complete appendices covering HIV, hepatitis, suicidality genetics, the iatrogenic harm from psychiatric medications, the specific pharmacogenomics of post-release overdose risk — the thing that kills people in the first two weeks after they walk out of a facility like the one I was in, at a rate forty to one hundred and twenty-nine times the baseline population.

Everything I spent fifteen years and one and a half million dollars learning. Free. No signup. No purchase. CC0 public domain. Anyone can print it, copy it, distribute it, translate it. No permissions required.

[A beat. Let the price point land against the million and a half.]

The cost to someone reading it in a prison library: zero.

| SECTION 6 THE CLOSING ASK *[~15:00–18:00]*

[Move to center stage. This is the close. Slow down further. Every sentence deliberate.]

I want to be precise about what I am not saying.

I am not saying genetics is destiny. The variants I've described are associated with increased vulnerability — they are not sentences. Environment, lifestyle, support, and clinical care interact with the genetic starting conditions in ways that are neither predetermined nor fixed.

I am not saying therapy doesn't matter. It does. I'm not saying community doesn't matter. It does. I'm not saying the behavioral and psychological work of recovery is unnecessary. It is absolutely necessary.

What I am saying is that for a significant portion of the people the treatment system has labeled treatment-resistant — the people who tried everything and kept failing, the people who were told repeatedly that the problem was their character — there is a biological explanation that the system

did not look for, that a two-hundred dollar test would have found, and that should have been part of the clinical picture from the very beginning.

[PAUSE — 3 seconds]

There is a question I want to leave you with. Not as a rhetorical device. As an actual question.

[PAUSE — 1 second]

Who do you know who has failed treatment?

[PAUSE — 4 seconds]

[Let people sit with that. Most hands in the room could go up.]

I mean that genuinely. Someone in your family. A friend. A colleague. Someone you love who has tried and failed, tried and failed, and been told — explicitly or implicitly — that the failing is their fault.

That person may be carrying a COMT variant that means every standard treatment protocol is providing inadequate neurochemical support. They may be carrying an MTHFR variant that means their antidepressants are chemically inert because their methylation pathway cannot activate the required cofactors. They may be a CYP2D6 poor metabolizer who has spent years being prescribed medications that accumulate to toxic levels before reaching therapeutic effect, and has been labeled non-compliant.

They are not non-compliant. They are not broken. They are running on hardware that has never been properly diagnosed. And the diagnostic test is two hundred dollars and a conversation with a clinician who knows what to do with the results.

[PAUSE — 3 seconds]

Here is the ask.

[PAUSE — 1 second]

If you are a clinician: add pharmacogenomic screening to your intake protocol. MTHFR, COMT, CYP2D6. The tests exist. The clinical guidelines exist. The CPIC — the Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium — publishes free, peer-reviewed guidelines for applying this information to drug selection. It costs almost nothing relative to the cost of treatment failure. Use it.

If you are a person who has failed treatment: stop asking whether you wanted it enough. Start asking whether the protocol was designed for your biology. You may have been

fighting your hardest against a system that was handing you the wrong instructions for your specific hardware. That is not a character failure. That is a diagnostic failure. And it is fixable.

If you are a family member: the conversation worth having with your loved one is not 'please try harder.' It is 'I know about something that might explain why the standard approaches haven't worked. Can we look at this together?' The information is free. The link is in the description of this talk. The manual is yours.

And if you are a policymaker, a healthcare administrator, a treatment center director: the data is clear. Sixty-five percent of people in U.S. jails and prisons have a substance use disorder. Ninety-five percent receive no pharmacogenomically informed treatment. The post-release overdose rate in the first two weeks is forty to one hundred and twenty-nine times baseline. These are not statistics about moral failure. They are statistics about a medical system that has not yet adopted the diagnostic tools it already has.

[PAUSE — 3 seconds]

[Come to full stillness. The closing is quiet. Not triumphant. True.]

The book I wrote is dedicated to five people. Their initials are J.P., A.C., J.S., G.F., and C.O.

[PAUSE — 2 seconds]

They ran out of time before anyone told them that what drove them to the substance was biology, not weakness.

[PAUSE — 3 seconds]

I do not know — I will never know — whether the framework I built would have reached them in time, or spoken to them in the right language, or arrived at the right moment in their particular journey. I can't know that.

What I know is this: the information exists now. It did not exist in the form I needed when I was sitting in that treatment office being told I needed to decide if I wanted to get better. It does not have to take another fifteen years and another million and a half dollars and another seven failed programs for the next person to find it.

It is free. It is in the world. And if you know someone who needs it —

[PAUSE — 2 seconds]

give it to them.

[PAUSE — 4 seconds]

[Hold the stillness. Do not bow immediately. Give the audience time to feel the weight of the ending before they applaud. Then bow simply. One nod. Walk off.]

Production Notes

Stage Setup

Minimal. No lectern. No slides — this talk works without slides and is stronger for it. If a slide deck is required, use exactly three slides: (1) an anatomical image of the brain, displayed at the beginning of Section 3, removed before section ends; (2) the text 'CYP2D6 Poor Metabolizer — $200 test' displayed briefly during the Prince case; (3) the GitHub URL displayed during the closing ask, left up for the duration of the close. No bullet points. No infographics. The story carries.

Pacing and Delivery

The pauses are not decorative. They are structural. The ideas in this talk are genuinely unfamiliar to most audiences — 'your substance of choice was not random; it was your brain solving a specific problem' — and require processing time before the next idea can land. Honor every marked pause. When in doubt, pause longer.

The emotional register moves: confessional (Section 1), analytical (Sections 2 and 3), solemn (Section 4), personal (Section 5), direct (Section 6). The register shifts should be felt, not announced. Movement on stage helps — move during the analytical sections, come to stillness for the most personal moments.

The Names in the Dedication

J.P., A.C., J.S., G.F., and C.O. are real people. The delivery of those initials in the close is the most important moment in the talk. Do not rush it. Do not contextualize it before the moment — the audience does not need to know who they are. They need to feel the weight of not knowing. The pause after is four seconds minimum. This is where the talk lives or dies.

The Closing Line

'Give it to them.' — Three words. The simplest possible ending. Do not add to it. Do not explain it. Say it and hold the stillness.

Anticipated Questions — Q&A Preparation

"Are you claiming this explains all addiction?"

No. This framework explains a significant portion of treatment-resistant cases — the people for whom standard approaches have failed. It is one lens, not a complete system. The behavioral, psychological, relational, and social dimensions of addiction are real and require real interventions. What this adds is the biological foundation that makes those interventions more likely to hold.

"Is pharmacogenomic testing covered by insurance?"

In many cases, yes — particularly for established CYP450 variants. The out-of-pocket cost for direct-to-consumer testing runs from $100-$300. The Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (cpicpgx.org) publishes free guidelines for clinical application. A patient can request this from their primary care physician or psychiatrist.

"Why is it free?"

Because the people who need it most can't afford it. Because a resource published under CC0 public domain cannot be locked behind a paywall by anyone, ever. Because the purpose is distribution, not profit. Because the people whose initials are in the dedication didn't have it in time, and I don't want anyone else to run out of time waiting for it.

"What about people who don't have genetic testing access?"

The book includes self-assessment tools for COMT and MTHFR that use observable symptom patterns rather than genetic data. The assessment doesn't require testing to begin — it gives a working hypothesis that guides the initial protocol, which is then refined as testing becomes available.

"Did this work for you?"

Yes. I'm standing here. That's the answer.

The One Line

If you could give this talk one line that people write on their phones as they're leaving: 'You didn't fail treatment. Treatment failed to account for your biology.'

That's the idea. Everything else is in service of that line.

---

github.com/JRToken-NGI/recovery-kernel — CC0 Public Domain — Free Forever

Crisis: 988 (call or text) | SAMHSA: 1-800-662-4357

— The System Architect